IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

ANGELA GALVAN PLAINTIFF

vs. No. 5:21-cv-152

THE PREPARATORY SCHOOLS FRANCHISING COMPANY, LLC

DEFENDANT

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff Angela Galvan ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorney Josh Sanford of the Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for her Original Complaint against The Preparatory Schools Franchising Company, LLC ("Defendant"), she does hereby state and allege as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, interest, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, as a result of Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff lawful overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week.
- 2. Upon information and belief, for at least three years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant has willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA as described, *infra*.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The United States District Court for the Western District of Texas has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.

4. The acts alleged in this Complaint had their principal effect within the San Antonio Division of the Western District of Texas, and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is an individual and resident of Bexar County.
- 6. Defendant is a domestic limited liability company.
- 7. Defendant's registered agent for service is Mark Ronald Schuh, at 2403 Vance Lane, Austin, Texas 78737.
 - 8. Defendant does business as The Prep School of Stone Oak.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.
- 10. Defendant employs two or more individuals who engage in interstate commerce or business transactions, or who produce goods to be transported or sold in interstate commerce, or who handle, sell, or otherwise work with goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for interstate commerce, such as food and beverage products, computers and office supplies.
- 11. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done is not less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are

separately stated) in each of the three years preceding the filing of the Original

Complaint.

12. Defendant participated in the management of Plaintiff's work,

including setting and enforcing the amount of hours worked and the amount and

manner of compensation paid.

13. Defendant dictated, controlled and ratified, both implicitly and

explicitly, the wage and hour practices and all related employee compensation

policies that are at issue in this case.

14. Defendant is an "employer" within the meanings set forth in the

FLSA, and was, at all times relevant to the allegations in this Complaint,

Plaintiff's employer.

15. At all times material herein, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights,

protections and benefits provided under the FLSA.

16. Defendant owns and operates multiple private pre-schools

throughout Texas.

17. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant from April of 2017 until the

present.

18. At all relevant times herein, Defendant directly hired Plaintiff to work

at its facilities, paid her wages and benefits, controlled her work schedule, duties,

protocols, applications, assignments and employment conditions, and kept at

least some records regarding her employment.

19. Plaintiff worked at Defendant's location in San Antonio.

20. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a "kitchen manager."

21. Until Spring of 2019, Plaintiff was paid hourly. Defendant then

began paying Plaintiff a salary and classified her as non-exempt from the

overtime requirements of the FLSA.

22. Plaintiff's primary job duties were to prepare food, clean the kitchen

and plan menus.

23. Plaintiff did not have the authority to hire, fire or evaluate other

employees.

24. Plaintiff did not exercise discretion or independent judgment as to

matters of significance.

25. Plaintiff sought input from Defendant in lieu of making significant

decisions on her own.

26. Plaintiff did not manage the enterprise or a customarily recognized

department or subdivision of the enterprise.

27. Defendant paid Plaintiff a salary of less than \$684.00 per week.

28. Plaintiff regularly worked over forty hours per week.

29. Plaintiff estimates she regularly worked between 45 and 50 hours

per week.

30. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff 1.5x her regular rate of pay for hours

worked over 40 per week.

31. At all relevant times herein, Defendant has deprived Plaintiff of

overtime compensation for all of the hours she worked over forty per week.

32. Defendant knew or showed reckless disregard for whether its

actions violated the FLSA.

V. CAUSE OF ACTION—VIOLATION OF THE FLSA

33. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this

Complaint as though fully incorporated in this section.

34. Plaintiff asserts this claim for damages and declaratory relief

pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.

35. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has been

Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

36. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has been, and

continues to be, an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the

FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203.

37. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206 and 207 require any enterprise engaged in

commerce to pay all employees a minimum wage for all hours worked up to 40

each week and to pay 1.5x regular wages for all hours worked over 40 each

week, unless an employee meets certain exemption requirements of 29 U.S.C. §

213 and all accompanying Department of Labor regulations.

38. At all times relevant times to this Complaint, Defendant

misclassified Plaintiff as exempt from the overtime requirements of the FLSA.

39. Despite the entitlement of Plaintiff to overtime payments under the

FLSA, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime rate of 1.5x her regular rate of

pay for all hours worked over 40 each week.

40. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff all overtime wages owed was

willful.

41. By reason of the unlawful acts alleged herein, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for monetary damages, liquidated damages, and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, for all violations that occurred within the three years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Angela Galvan respectfully prays as follows:

- A. That Defendant be summoned to appear and answer this Complaint;
- B. That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff and the Court for all monies paid to Plaintiff;
- C. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate the FLSA:
- D. Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime wage compensation owed under the FLSA;
 - E. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA;
- F. An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff prejudgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees and all costs connected with this action;
 - G. For a reasonable attorney's fee, costs, and interest; and
 - H. Such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

PLAINTIFF ANGELA GALVAN

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC Kirkpatrick Plaza 10800 Financial Centre Parkway, Suite 510 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

/s/ Josh Sanford
Josh Sanford
Tex. Bar No. 24077858
josh@sanfordlawfirm.com